



Feedback: Results of the Questionnaires Filled by the Specialists of Ukrainian Enterprises and Research Institutions

Scientific and Technical Center
Vyacheslav Kolyada
Kyiv – June, 2011



This work was executed under INECP in
cooperation with Argonne National
Laboratory, United States



Representatives of about 200 Ukrainian enterprises were polled, including:


- Manufactures of dual-use goods, arms and military equipment;
- Trading companies;
- Research institutions.




The Questionnaire contained five questions:

1. How important is a process of improvement of the Ukrainian legislation in the field of export control of dual-use goods and its harmonization with European Union standards?
2. How effective is the Ukrainian export control system in terms of prevention of illegal arms delivery and promotion of trade in arms and dual-use goods?
3. In what direction should Ukraine reform its export control system?
4. What measures could improve the effectiveness of the export control system in Ukraine?
5. Express your recommendations on making changes to legislative and regulatory legal acts of Ukraine in the sphere of export controls


Generalization of answers to question 1

- 
-
- ◆ It is important because some provisions of Ukrainian legislation do not correspond with the EU U.S. standards;
 - ◆ It is important to bring together rules and regulations of the Ukrainian legislation and corresponding control procedures with the EU standards;
 - ◆ It is important in terms of building the image of Ukraine as modern state complying with its international obligations, and as a reliable partner in military- technical cooperation with foreign partners.

Generalization of answers to question 2

- 
-
- ◆ Effective enough;
 - ◆ It is functioning at a rather high level;
 - ◆ Arms delivery and promotion of trade in arms and military equipment are exercised under control;
 - ◆ It is functioning effectively, but additional industry outreach is necessary.

Generalization of answers to question 3




- ◆ Reforming is necessary;
- ◆ More clear formulation of the requirements for obtaining authorization documents;
- ◆ Existing directions for reforms taking into account the experience of leading countries of the world are proper;
- ◆ More active use of up-to-date electronic means of communication;
- ◆ Carry out reforming in the direction of maximum protection of national interests while fulfilling international obligations and commitments.



Generalization of answers to question 4

- ◆ Reduction of number and time for processing documents necessary to obtain permits and guarantee documents;
- ◆ Provision of consulting services and methodic assistance concerning establishment and functioning of ICP systems;
- ◆ Timely update of the SSECU website;
- ◆ Holding seminars, conferences on export control issues;
- ◆ Establishment of regional authorized agencies for export control issues.



Generalization of answers to question 4 (continued)

- ◆ Publication of text-books and guides on export controls;
- ◆ Further workout and improvement of interaction with the State Custom Service of Ukraine;
- ◆ Use of experience of leading countries of the world in the area of export control;
- ◆ Timely making changes to regulatory legal acts on export controls
- ◆ Transition to Single Dual-Use List.



Generalization of answers to question 5

- ◆ To simplify legal framework of Ukraine on the basis of international experience, but taking into consideration national interests;
- ◆ To provide information on draft changes to the Ukrainian legislation to timely prepare proposals from industry;
- ◆ To improve the system of setting fine sanctions;
- ◆ To enhance the powers of manufactures;
- ◆ Further harmonization of the Ukrainian legislation with the EU legislative standards in the area of export control.



**Thank you
for your attention !**
